1 2 3 4 5 6	FEINSTEIN DOYLE PAYNE & KRAVEC, LLC 429 Forbes Avenue, 17th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Tel: (412) 281-8400 Fax: (412) 281-1007 E-mail: jkravec@fdpklaw.com	Janet Lindner Spielberg (SBN 221926) LAW OFFICES OF JANET LINDNER SPIELBERG 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, #400 Los Angeles, California 90025 Tel: (310) 392-8801 Fax: (310) 278-5938 Email: jlspielberg@jlslp.com
7		
8		EÒËZŠÒÖÄÄ EFÌEFIE
9		
10	IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13		
14	FELTON A. SPEARS, JR. and) Case No. 5-08-CV-00868 (RMW)
15	SIDNEY SCHOLL, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,)) STIPULATION AND [] ORDER
16	Plaintiffs,) EXTENDING CERTAIN PRE-TRIAL) DATES
17	VS. FIRST AMERICAN EAPPRAISEIT	
18	(a/k/a eAppraiseIT, LLC), a Delaware limited liability company,	
19	Defendant.	
20	Defendant:	,
21		
22		
23 24		
2 4 25		
25 26		
27		
28		

Stipulation and [] Order; CASE NO. 5-08-CV-00868 (RMW)

2
 3
 4

Plaintiffs Felton A. Spears, Jr. and Sidney Scholl (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendant eAppraiseIT, LLC ("EA;" collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record and pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, enter into the following stipulation for an order extending certain pre-trial dates. This stipulation does not seek modification of the trial date or the date currently scheduled for the pre-trial conference.

WHEREAS, on April 25, 2012, the Court granted Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification of Plaintiffs' claim under RESPA, 12 U.S.C. § 2607(a), certifying a Class of "All consumers in California and throughout the United States who, on or after June 1, 2006, received home loans from Washington Mutual Bank, FA in connection with appraisals that were obtained through eAppraiseIT." Dkt. No. 249, p. 12.

WHEREAS, per the Court's November 6, 2013 Order (Dkt. 350) scheduling expert reports, Plaintiffs served EA with experts' reports from twelve experts on January 31, 2014. Plaintiffs served one supplemental expert report via email to EA's counsel and made four other supplemental reports available EA via secure server on May 14, 2014. Plaintiffs emailed the remaining supplemental experts to Defendant on May 15, 2014 upon learning that EA had trouble retrieving the reports off the server the prior evening.¹

WHEREAS, EA served no expert reports on January 31, 2014 and submitted six rebuttal reports on the June 6, 2014 rebuttal report deadline under this Court's scheduling order.

WHEREAS, the parties have made reciprocal expert-related document requests, and EA has advised that it anticipates substantially completing production of expert-related documents within two weeks of submission of its rebuttal reports (*i.e.*, by June 20, 2014).

WHEREAS, given the scope of the parties' expert cases (totaling over eighteen experts, including appraisal practice, appraisal review, appraisal inflation analysis, RESPA compliance and damages experts) and the need for Plaintiffs to analyze the rebuttal reports as well as the expert-related documents being produced thereafter, the parties need more time than is currently included in the Court's Scheduling Order (Dkt. 350) in order to: 1) complete certain expert depositions as

¹ EA intends to move to strike the supplemental reports as improper and untimely supplementation.

described below; and 2) include – if necessary – a discussion of certain of those expert depositions in the parties' respective opposition and reply briefs in connection with upcoming motions (including, but not limited to, motions for summary judgment and a motion for decertification).

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to conduct expert depositions in two phases, such that the expert depositions that are potentially relevant to the upcoming motions for summary judgment and other motion practice are completed by the time the parties' opposition and reply briefs are due. To minimize the burden on the parties during the briefing phase of these motions, the parties will take only those expert depositions necessary for their opposition briefs prior to the filing of their opposition briefs. Expert depositions necessary for their reply briefs will be taken after opposition briefs are filed and prior to the filing of their reply briefs. All other expert depositions will be taken after the filing of reply briefs, which the parties anticipate will include some twelve appraisal reviewer experts (or fewer to the extent they are deposed earlier). The parties will work to schedule depositions so they occur more than three business days prior to the respective opposition and reply briefing deadlines.

WHEREAS, under the current Scheduling Order, expert discovery is now scheduled to close on June 30, 2014 (just over three weeks after the production of Defendants' rebuttal expert reports), dispositive motions are scheduled to be heard on July 25, 2014, and the "other motion hearing cut-off (other than motions *in limine*)" is set for August 22, 2014.

WHEREAS, the parties respectfully request that: 1) the expert discovery cutoff be extended from June 30, 2014 to September 5, 2014; 2) the dispositive motion hearing cut-off be extended to the same date as the "other motion hearing cut-off (other than motions *in limine*)"; and that the Parties' upcoming motions for summary judgment and decertification (and any other motions other than motions *in limine*) be briefed according to the following briefing schedule, with the understanding that one or more party(ies) may need to extend the time to respond to the motions, which have not yet been filed: moving papers due July 1, 2014; opposition papers due July 25, 2014, and reply papers due August 8, 2014. Moreover, the parties have scheduled a mediation with John Leo Wagner on September 17, 2014. Accordingly, in light of these requested changes and the

scheduled mediation date, the parties also respectfully request that the mediation cutoff date (currently July 16, 2014) be extended to September 25, 2014.

WHEREAS, there have been three previous time modifications in this action. On March 27, 2013, the Court granted the Parties' stipulation to extend the deadlines in this action by four months. Dkt. No. 303. On August 30, 2013, the Court granted the Parties' stipulation to further extend the deadlines in the action by approximately one month. Dkt. No. 331. On November 6, 2013, the Court extended the fact discovery deadline as to discovery from third party JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. until December 22, 2013 and extended expert discovery and certain other deadlines to accommodate this change. Dkt. No. 350.

WHEREAS, the requested time modification will affect no other deadlines in this action.

WHEREAS, for the reasons set forth herein, good cause exists to extend the dates requested herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, IN CONSIDERATION OF THE FOREGOING, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties, that the following deadlines be adjusted as follows:

Event	Prior Deadline per November 6, 2013 Order (Dkt. #350)	New Deadline
Expert Discovery Cut-Off	June 30, 2014	September 5, 2014
Mediation	July 16, 2014	September 25, 2014
Dispositive Motion Hearing Cut-Off	July 25, 2014	August 22, 2014
Other Motion Hearing Cut- Off (other than motions <i>in limine</i>)	August 22, 2014	August 22, 2014
Pretrial Conference (hearing on motions in limine, agreed jury instructions and verdict forms, proposed voir dire)	October 9, 2014	October 9, 2014
Pretrial Brief	October 30, 2014	October 30, 2014
Trial Date	November 24, 2014	November 24, 2014

Case 5:08-cv-00868-RMW Document 380 Filed 06/18/14 Page 5 of 5

1	Dated: June 12, 2014	FEINSTEIN DOYLE PAYNE & KRAVEC, LLC	
2		By: s/Joseph N. Kravec, Jr.	
3		Joseph N. Kravec, Jr., Co-Lead Class Counsel	
4			
5	Dated: June 12, 2014	LAW OFFICES OF JANET LINDNER SPIELBERG	
6		By: s/Janet Lindner Spielberg Janet Lindner Spielberg, Co-Lead Class Counsel	
7	Dated: June 12, 2014	IRELL & MANELLA LLP	
8 9		By: s/A. Matthew Ashley Attorneys for DEFENDANT	
10			
11	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
12			
13	ी क्रों का Dated:	Honorable Ronald M. Whyte	
14		Honorable Ronald M. Whyte United States District Judge	
15		Officed States District Judge	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	I .		